

Peer Review Process

Our journal follows a double-blinded peer review process to ensure impartiality and transparency in evaluating submissions.

1. **Submission:** Authors submit their manuscript through our online system, with no identifying information about the authors visible to the reviewers.
2. **Initial Screening:** The editorial team reviews the manuscript for alignment with the journal's scope and adherence to submission guidelines. Manuscripts that don't meet the basic criteria are rejected.
3. **Reviewer Assignment:** Qualified experts in the field are selected to review the manuscript. Both the reviewers and authors remain anonymous to each other throughout the process.
4. **Peer Review:** Reviewers evaluate the manuscript based on originality, scientific rigor, clarity, and relevance to the field. They provide detailed feedback and recommend whether the manuscript should be accepted, revised, or rejected.
5. **Decision:** Based on reviewer feedback, the editor makes a decision:
 - **Accept:** If the manuscript meets all criteria.
 - **Revise:** If minor or major revisions are needed.
 - **Reject:** If the manuscript is deemed unsuitable for publication.
6. **Revisions:** Authors address reviewer comments and resubmit the manuscript within the given time frame. If necessary, the manuscript may undergo further rounds of review.
7. **Final Acceptance:** Once revisions are completed and accepted, the manuscript is scheduled for publication.